

**GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY  
LABOUR DEPARTMENT**

(G.O. Rt. No. 67/Lab./AIL/J/2013, dated 17th May 2013)

**NOTIFICATION**

Whereas, the award in I.D. No. 8/2012, dated 24-1-2013 of the Labour Court, Puducherry in respect of the industrial dispute between the Managing Director, M/s. Deekay Exports Limited, Puducherry and its workman Thiru A.T. Krishnamoorthy, over full and final settlement for a period of 14 years has been received;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) read with the notification issued in Labour Department's G.O. Ms. No. 20/91/Lab./L, dated 23-5-1991, it is hereby directed by Secretary to Government (Labour) that the said award shall be published in the official gazette, Puducherry.

(By order)

**S. THAMMU GANAPATHY,**  
Under Secretary to Government (Labour).

**BEFORE THE LABOUR COURT AT PUDUCHERRY**

*Present* : Thiru T. MOHANDASS, M.A., M.L.,  
Presiding Officer.

*Thursday, the 24th day of January 2013*

**I.D. No. 8/2012**

A.T. Krishnamoorthy . . . Petitioner

*Versus*

The Managing Director,  
M/s. Deekay Exports Limited,  
Puducherry. . . Respondent

This industrial dispute coming on this day for hearing before me in the presence of Thiru L. Swaminathan and I. Ilangkumar, Advocates for the petitioner and respondent called absent set *ex parte* and upon perusing the case records, this court made the following:

**ORDER**

This industrial dispute arises out of the reference made by the Government under section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act in G.O. Rt.No. 23 AIL/ Lab./ J/2012, dated 29-2-2012. The Government made the following reference :

(a) Whether the dispute raised by Thiru A.T. Krishnamoorthy against the management of M/s. Deekay Exports Limited, Puducherry, over full and final settlement for a period of 14 years is justified ?

(b) If justified, what relief, the petitioner is entitled to?

(c) To compute the relief, if any, awarded in terms of money, if it can be so computed.

2. Today this petition was called. PW.1 present. Proof affidavit filed. Exs. P.1 to P. 10 marked. Claim proved. Petitioner is entitled for retrenchment compensation as per law in force and additional compensation of ₹ 2,00,000 (two lakhs). The petitioner is also entitled to gratuity and provident fund for which he is eligible from the concerned authorities. He is not entitled for any separate certificate as prayed. No costs.

Written and pronounced by me in the open court on this 24th day of January 2013.

**T. MOHANDASS,**  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Puducherry.

**GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY  
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF EDUCATIONAL OFFICER**  
*No. 650/CEO/Exam.Cell/2012-13.*

*Puducherry, the 6th May 2013.*

**NOTIFICATION**

It is hereby notified that the original S.S.L.C. Mark Certificate bearing Register Number 594456 of March 2010 in respect of R. Sridevi, an ex-pupil of Annai Sivagami Government Girls' Higher Secondary School, Mudaliarpet is reported to have been lost and beyond the scope of recovery and it is proposed to issue a duplicate certificate. If the original certificate is to be found by anybody, it should be sent to the Director of Government Examinations, Chennai-6 for cancellation, as it is no longer valid.

**R. KALAISELVAN,**  
Chief Educational Officer.

**GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY  
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF EDUCATIONAL OFFICER**  
*No. 650/CEO/Exam.Cell/2012-13.*

*Puducherry, the 6th May 2013.*

**NOTIFICATION**

It is hereby notified that the original S.S.L.C. Mark Certificate bearing Register Number 436007 of April 2002 in respect of M. Devika, an ex-pupil of St. Joseph High School, Muthialpet is reported to have been lost